EFFECT OF VERTICAL END-MILLING OPERATIONS UPON SOME MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF FREE MACHINING BRASS PLATES


1-ABSTRACT

Test specimens made of as-received free machining brass plates having dimensions of (10x10x100) x 10^-3 m were vertically end milled from one or from two sides in some cases. Milling conditions varied from (0.1 to 1.2) x 10^-3 m depth of cut, and feed speed ranging between 0.4 to 1.5 m/s for spindle speeds of (2.57 to 13.30) rev/sec. Flat tensile test specimens were made out of the same milled plates having 10x10^-3 m thickness and 50x10^-3 m gauge length.

It was found, for cases of one side milling, that the increase of depth of cut from 0.1x10^-3 m to 1.2x10^-3 m led to increases in the values of the maximum true tensile strength from (600 to 650) MPa. On the other hand, the corresponding values for double sides milling cases, varied from (650 to 700) MPa. The corresponding fracture strains ranged from 0.16 to 0.20 for cases of milling from one side to 0.125 to 0.175 for double sides milling cases. In all cases, slight decreases in the material true ultimate tensile stresses with noticeable increases in the fracture strains were noted upon the increase in spindle speeds. No change in the material strain-hardening exponents were noted through all the conducted tests. Material fatigue strength was taken to be equal to 0.3 of the true ultimate strength.

2-INTRODUCTION

The effect of the mechanical machining operations, such as turning and milling, on the mechanical properties of materials is an important research topic particularly for aircraft industry. It has been generally observed that the machining conditions affect the nature and magnitude of the residual stresses [1-3] which, in turn, may have an influence on the mechanical properties of the workpieces.
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The residual stresses are known to cause alterations in the grain size of workpieces [4]. Machining processes may, therefore, result in some variations in the strength and ductility of the worked material.

The purpose of this study is to determine the effect of vertical end-milling operations on the ultimate tensile strength, the endurance limit, and ductility of free-machining brass plates.

3. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The effect of vertical end-milling operations upon some mechanical properties of free-machining brass plates was studied via the following test program:

(a) the ultimate tensile strength, the endurance limit (taken as 0.1 of the ultimate tensile strength [5]), and the ductility (taken as the fracture strain) were experimentally measured at a fixed value of the end-mill speed of 160 Rpm. Depth of cut and feed speed varied from 0.1 to 1.2 m and from 0.4 to 1.58 m/s, respectively.

(b) Similar experiments were conducted at two additional end-mill speeds of 400 and 800 Rpm.

Free machining brass blocks having chemical composition of 62-65% Cu, 30-36% Zn and 2-4% Pb and of dimensions (100x100x100) m were dry end-milled under various cutting conditions according to Table (1).

Three similar flat tensile test specimens taken from stressed blocks, for each machining test, were, then, subjected to conventional uniaxial tensile tests (See Fig 1). A 20 ton universal testing machine equipped with a load-elongation unit was utilized. The overall effect of residual milling stress upon workpieces' mechanical properties were, then, evaluated.

Table (1): The dry milling conditions (at a constant longitudinal feed rate $(S_f)$ of 0.75x$10^{-3}$ m/s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>End-mill Speed</th>
<th>Depth of Cut ($t$)</th>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>End-mill Speed</th>
<th>Depth of Cut ($t$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>B3</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>B4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>B5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>B6</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>B7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>B10</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>B11</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>B12</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A11</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>B9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>B10</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>B11</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>B12</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the study are presented in various ways. Fig. 2, for example, illustrates the engineering stress-strain diagrams for the tested material before and after the end-milling operations. The diagrams have been obtained at different depth of cut for single side milling (group A) and double side milling (group B) at a spindle speed of 160 rpm and a feed rate of 0.75 m/m in the dry conditions. Fig. 3 illustrates the same diagrams on a logarithmic scale. Fig. 4 indicates another set of engineering stress-strain diagrams which have been obtained at various spindle speeds and at a depth of cut of 1.2 mm and a feed rate of 0.75 m/m.

Figures 5-10 illustrate three-dimensional plots and contour plots for the ultimate tensile stress, the endurance limit, and the fracture strain for single side and double side milling groups. Figures 11-13 show super-imposed results for both milling conditions for comparison reasons.

It can be generally observed from these plots that at the same spindle speed, both the ultimate strength and, hence the endurance limit, of the material increase as the depth of cut increases. For the usual practice of single-sided milling (test group A), noticeable increase in the ultimate tensile strength took place reaching, for instance, around 570 MPa for a depth of milling equal 1.2 mm while it was only about 400 MPa before milling. As the depth of cut increases so do the material work hardening characteristics leading to higher strength values. This may be attributed to the increase in the ensued surface and sub-surface residual compressive stresses for higher depth of cut. This behaviour is illustrated separately in Fig. 15. The increase in the ensued surface and sub-surface residual stress for higher depth of cut appears in Fig. 15 [6].

Double-side milled specimens (test group B) acquired even higher strength values than that of single-side milled workpieces (test group A). Corresponding increases in specimens yield strengths are revealed at higher depths of cut.

On the other hand, the fracture strain, and hence the material's ductility, is seen to decrease as the depth of cut increases. The fracture strain in the double-sided milling is lower than that for single-sided milling for the same milling conditions. This behaviour is presented in Fig. 16.

The stress-strain relation for the material may take the power law form:

\[ \sigma = K \varepsilon^n \]

The strength coefficient \( K \) and the strain-hardening exponent \( n \) may be determined via the logarithmic plots \( \ln \sigma - \ln \varepsilon \) shown in Figures 14 and 15. In all cases, the strain-hardening exponent \( n \) is found to have a constant value irrespective of the change in depth of cut. This may be understandable, since the same type of variation is found to produce the same type of effect. However, the strength coefficient \( K \) is found to be higher for higher depth of cut revealing real strength increases.

Similar conclusions may be drawn for the case of constant depth of cut and different spindle speeds. That is, both the ultimate tensile stress and the endurance limit for the test material are seen to increase as the spindle speed increases, for the same depth of cut. An inverse behaviour can be seen for the fracture strain. This behaviour is being illustrated in Figures 17 & 18, respectively.

The strengthening mechanism which is at work here is thought to be based on the nature of the residual elastic strain that may be due, mainly, to inequity in interatomic distances [7].
The effective internal energy of the material is needed to initiate slip at given higher stress levels.

In addition to this possible strengthening mechanism, there may exist other mechanisms in situations where slip is enhanced, its movements may take place at higher stress levels leading to higher strength [7]. The third principle is the one which explains the increase of internal mechanical energy, via sub-recrystallization plastic deformation or via grain boundary growth or due to alloying elements [8].

5- CONCLUSIONS

From the experimental study on the effect of vertical end milling on the mechanical properties of free-machining brass, the following conclusions may be drawn:

1- The tensile strength and endurance limit increase for cases of higher depths of cut and slower cutting speeds for one side as well as two sides milling.

2- The two sides milling strengthens brass more than the conventional one side milling.

3- The fracture strain decreases as the depth of cut increases and the cutting speed decreases for the two milling processes.

4- The fracture strain decreases at a greater rate for one side milling than for two sides milling.

5- Vertical end-milling yields compressive residual stresses which are advantageous to the milled pieces, especially if milling is conducted from two parallel sides. as far as the tensile strength and endurance limit are concerned.

6- Vertical end-milling operations lead to lower workpiece ductility, especially after two sides machining.
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Figure 1. Dimension of Specimen According to (DIN 50114)

Figure 2. Engineering stress ($S_e$) versus Engineering strain ($e$) for different depths of cut ($t$) at a spindle speed (N) of 160 R.P.M. and a feed rate ($S_m$) of $0.75 \times 10^{-3}$ m/s.

(a) Single surface milling tests, (b) Two opposite surface milling tests.
Figure 3. Logarithmic stress-strain plots for different depths of cut at a feed rate (Sm) of 0.75 x 10^{-3} m/s.
(a) Single surface milling tests, (b) Two opposite surfaces milling tests.

Figure 4. Logarithmic stress-strain plots for different depths of cut at a feed rate (Sm) of 0.75x10^{-3} m/s.
(a) Single surface milling tests, (b) Two opposite surfaces milling tests.
Fig. 5. Three-Dimensional and Contour Plots of the Ultimate Stress versus Spindle Speed and Depth of Cut (Single-Side Milling)

Fig. 6. Three-Dimensional and Contour Plots of the Endurance Limit versus Spindle Speed and Depth of Cut (Single-Side Milling)
Fig. 7. Three-Dimensional and Contour Plots of the Fracture Strain versus Spindle Speed and Depth of Cut (Single-Side Milling)

Fig. 8. Three-Dimensional and Contour Plots of the Ultimate Stress versus Spindle Speed and Depth of Cut (Double-Side Milling)
Fig. 9. Three-Dimensional and Contour Plots of the Endurance Limit versus Spindle Speed and Depth of Cut (Double-Side Milling)

Fig. 10. Three-Dimensional and Contour Plots of the Fracture Strain versus Spindle Speed and Depth of Cut (Double-Side Milling)
Fig. 11 Contour Plots of the
Ultimate Stress versus
Spindle Speed and Depth
of Cut

Continuous Lines: Single-side Milling
Dashed Lines: Double-side Milling

Fig. 12. Contour Plots of the
Endurance Limit versus
Spindle Speed and Depth
of Cut

Fig. 13. Contour Plots of the
Fracture Strain versus
Spindle Speed and Depth
of Cut
Figure 14. Ultimate tensile strength (Su) and Endurance Limit (Se) versus depth of cut at a feed rate (Sn) of $0.75 \times 10^{-3}$ m/s.

Figure 15. A typical example for the relationship between the compressive residual stress and the sub-surface depth at different depths of cut (t) for a feed rate (Sn) of $0.75 \times 10^{-3}$ m/s.
Figure 16 Fracture strain ($\varepsilon_f$) versus depth of cut ($t$) at a feed rate ($S_m$) of $0.75 \times 10^{-3}$ m/s.

Figure 17 Ultimate tensile strength ($S_t$) and Endurance limit ($S_e$) versus spindle speeds ($N$) at a feed rate ($S_m$) of $0.75 \times 10^{-3}$ m/s.

Figure 18. Effect of speed change upon the fracture strain at a depth of cut $1.2 \times 10^{-3}$ m for both test grinds (A) and (B).