I. INTRODUCTION

Unlike conventional topographic survey techniques and satellite imagery, images captured by UAVs have advantages of low platform cost, flexibility, rapid, high resolution, precise positioning, and no need for permissions in most countries. Based on these advantages, photogrammetry based on the UAV platform has become a popular technique in mapping topographic applications. Capturing imagery by a camera installed in UAV has importance in cartographic (Crommelinck et al., 2017), remote sensing (Aasen et al., 2018), agriculture (Borgogno Mondino and Gajetti, 2017), environmental (Manfreda et al., 2018), and metrology (Daakir et al., 2017) applications.
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Using UAVs as a photogrammetric platform have the ability to overfly and capture wide accessible or inaccessible, or dangerous areas within a short time with high resolution due to the low altitude of flying. For the geomatics applications, a geo-referencing of the captured images is required to determine the points’ 3D location in a certain reference system. There are two methods of determining the exterior orientation (EO) parameters for each image in aerial imaging. The first way is integrating the measurements from the differential global navigational satellite system (DGNSS) and the inertial measurement system. This technique is called direct geo-referencing (DG). The second way is the indirect geo-referencing (IG), which uses the good distribution of GCPs (Ground Control Points) to compute the EO parameters (Rabah, et al., 2018).

In addition to the processing parameters, UAV Photogrammetry output products' accuracy is affected by the field configuration like flight height which determines the pixel size of the images and defines the spatial quality, overlap, and side lap and distribution of GCPs (Mesas-Carrascosa et. al., 2016). There are some problems that affect the automatic matching and the efficiency of image processing. One of the biggest problems is the featureless surface, which prevents and affects the SIFT process (Taha et al., 2022). To overcome this problem, flight field configuration parameters must be taken into consideration before flight data acquisition.

The UAV altitude AGL (Above Ground Level) and ratio of image overlap affect the accuracy and efficiency of aligning and automatic matching step in the Scale-Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) process. The image overlap offers enough corresponding points in sequence images to match and align them. The overlap ratio should be enough, or the photos can’t be aligned. The effect of overlap is divided into two portions: the forward and the side overlap. The number of photos per second manages the forward overlap, and side overlap is managed in the flight planning (Falkner and Morgan, 2002).

\[
\alpha_{\text{forward}} = \left(1 - \frac{d_{\text{forward}} \cdot f}{H \cdot W}\right) \cdot 100
\]
\[
\alpha_{\text{side}} = \left(1 - \frac{d_{\text{side}} \cdot f}{H \cdot W}\right) \cdot 100
\]

Where:
- \(O_{\text{forward}}\): The forward overlap %, \(O_{\text{side}}\): The side overlap %.
- \(d_{\text{forward}}\): The distance between two sequences images centers (m).
- \(d_{\text{side}}\): The distance between two successive flight lines (m).
- \(f\): The camera focal length (mm), \(W\): The sensor width (mm).
- \(H\): The height of the camera above the ground (m).

The ground sampling distance (GSD) or spatial resolution is calculated by: \(\text{GSD} = \frac{p}{f}\), Where \(p\) is the pixel size on the sensor and GSD is the distance between two sequences pixels centers measured on the ground.

Domingo et al. (2019) assessed the influence of image resolution, camera type, and side overlap on models constructed from UAV data. The results showed that the accuracy increased when using finer image resolution and RGB camera. Seifert (2019) studied the effects of drone flight parameters on image reconstruction and successful 3D point extraction. Low flight altitudes yielded the highest reconstruction details and best precisions. Çelik et al. (2020) investigated the effect of flight height on DSM and orthophoto. Compared to a flight height of 50 meters, a more detailed and high-resolution model was created with 30 meters. As a result of this comparison, it was determined that the flight height should be determined according to the terrain structure, accuracy, precision, and time-cost balance expected from the job. From previous researches, although the featureless surface of the covered area surface is one of the biggest problems and obstacles of image processing, no articles discuss and study this parameter.

This paper aims to study the effect of flight altitude AGL and image overlap ratio on point extraction, matching, image reconstruction, and the geometric accuracy of 3D point clouds and models generated by UAV images over featureless flat areas. For understanding the influence of UAV variables on the precision of reconstruction detail and image matching parameters during IG and DG processing, this study explored six different flights:

1) Four different flight height AGL (140m, 160 m, 180 m, and 200 m) with image spatial resolution (3.41, 3.9, 4.39, and 4.68 cm/pix GSD), respectively.
2) Three levels of the image forward and lateral overlap (60 %, 70 %, and 80 %) using 160 m flight altitude.

The other purpose is forming mathematical formulas to predict the UAV point cloud's geometrical accuracy by changing the GSD cm/pix and image overlap ratio.

II. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY:

A. Area of Study:

The six different altitudes AGL and overlap ratio missions were performed on the part of the desert located in Jahra, Kuwait (centered at latitude = 29o 13' 4.54'' N, longitude = 47o 39' 45.14'' E), figure 1 shows the test area on Google maps.

![Fig. 1: The test area on Google maps.](image)

B. Photogrammetric Data Acquisition:

![Fig. 2: The used UX5 UAV and SONY camera.](image)
The six Photogrammetric data acquisition has been performed of a different four height AGL, and three different overlap ratios with image format 6000 x 4000 pixels using 16 mm focal length SONY ILCE-5100 camera equipped a fixed-wing UAV UX5 vehicle with 1 m Wing length. Figure 2 shows the used UAV and camera, and Figure 3 shows a sample of the acquired images. The ground points are needed for geo-referencing the photogrammetric output products. 13 ground targets were set up, consisting of black-white square plates determined by static GNSS; figure 4 shows the identification of the ground points. Five points used as Ground control points (GCPs) were chosen in each corner and center, and the remaining eight points were used as independent checkpoints (ICPs); figure 5 shows the locations of the GCPS and ICPs.

Six flights were planned to test the influence of the altitude AGL and image overlap ratio in the accuracy of processing UAV images covering featureless flat areas, as presented in figure 6. The six data acquisition is processed by the two techniques IG and DG by five GCPs determined by static GNSS and EO parameter determined by RTK-GNSS and eight ICPs used as checkpoints. All the flight missions were performed under the same parameters and wind conditions; thus, the accuracy of generated products is only dependent on flight altitude or overlap ratio.

C. Photogrammetric Data Processing:

After the photogrammetric missions are performed, the obtained UAV images are processed through Agisoft Metashape professional 1.6.0 software. The processing provides 3D colored point clouds, and 3D photogrammetric models of the study area. The process is performed in two main steps. Firstly, aligning and matching the images. Secondly, geo-referencing the images, as shown in figure 7, (Agisoft, 2019).
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Six different missions, four different altitude AGL, and three different images overlap ratios as shown in figure 6, were tested and analyzed to show the effect of field configuration on the spatial accuracy of the generated point clouds by UAV featureless images. 13 ground points were measured by static GNSS, and RTK-GNSS determined the linear EO parameters for each image. For IG, five ground points were distributed regularly overall area used as GCPs and the remaining eight points used as ICPs to check the generated photogrammetric point clouds’ geometric accuracy. For DG, the known linear EO parameters are used for geo-referencing without needing GCPs, and the same eight ICPs are used. Figure 5 shows the GCPs and ICPs locations. For checking geometric accuracy, Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) is determined for ICPs as a difference between the static GNSS and UAV data, (FGDC, 1998).

\[
\text{RMSE}_X = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (X_{\text{GNSS}} - X_{\text{UAV}})^2}{n}}
\]
\[
\text{RMSE}_Y = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (Y_{\text{GNSS}} - Y_{\text{UAV}})^2}{n}}
\]
\[
\text{RMSE}_{XY} = \sqrt{\text{RMSE}_X^2 + \text{RMSE}_Y^2}
\]
\[
\text{RMSE}_Z = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (Z_{\text{GNSS}} - Z_{\text{UAV}})^2}{n}}
\]

A. The Effect of UAV Altitude AGL on UAV Featureless Images Processing:

To assess the influence of UAV flight configuration over a featureless surface for topographic applications. The impact of the UAV flight altitude AGL on both IG and DG processing was presented by studying four different heights (140, 160, 180, and 200 m) with a spatial resolution (3.41, 3.9, 4.39, and 4.68 cm/pix GSD) with 80 % for both forward and lateral overlap. Figure 8 shows the scheme of UAV flight heights and processing. The flight plan consisted of strips working east-west, and the flight planning parameters of the four different altitudes AGL are shown in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flight altitude AGL (m)</th>
<th>No. of flight lines</th>
<th>No. of photos per line</th>
<th>No. of total photos</th>
<th>Flight time (minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1666</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1290</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1026</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. The influence of flight altitude on IG processing of featureless UAV images:

The four different altitude missions have been processed by IG processing using five GCPs and eight ICPs, as shown in figure 5. The geometric accuracy of easting, northing, and elevation is determined by calculating the RMSE of the eight ICPs from the selected flying height, shown in table 2 and figure 9.

Table 3 shows the common matching parameters for the four different altitudes: spare point density, correct & wrong matching point, average tie point multiplicity, and matching time.

2. The effect of flight altitude on DG processing of featureless UAV images:

The four different altitudes AGL missions were processed by DG using the known linear EO parameters determined by RTK-GNSS without needing any GCPs. The eight ICPs were used for assessing the geometric accuracy of the generated point cloud. The RMSE of the eight ICPs was calculated for the three directions shown in table 4 and figure 10.
Based on table 4 and figure 10, as flight height AGL is increased, RMSE of the point cloud is increased. From 140 m AGL, flight gives a geometric accuracy of 0.036 m from 160 m AGL, the RMSE was 0.039 m. From 180 m AGL, the RMSE was 0.048 m. RMSE increased, affecting incrementing the RMSE.

This result shows that when the altitude AGL is increased, RMSE of the point cloud is increased. From 140 m AGL, the RMSE was 0.048 m. RMSE was 0.053 m at altitude of 200 m AGL. This result shows that when the altitude AGL increases, image GSD also increases, affecting incrementing the RMSE.

Table 5 shows the correlation between the flight height AGL and the matching parameters represented in the point density, correct & wrong matching points, average tie point multiplicity, and matching time.

As it is illustrated in table 5, 140 m altitude AGL gives the best matching parameters except matching time. The spare point cloud, correct matching point, and average tie point multiplicity are decreased by increasing altitude AGL. The highest spare point was 220122 points at 140 m with the highest correct matching points 194629 points are reduced to 78623 points with 61325 correct matching points at 200 m altitude AGL as the lowest density. Average tie point multiplicity reduced from 6.385 at 140 m AGL as the highest value to 2.63 at 200 m AGL as the lowest value. And the matching time was reduced from 9 hours and 11 minutes at 140 m AGL to 5 hours and 55 minutes at 200 m AGL.

**B. The Effect of Overlap Ratio on UAV Images Over Non-Textured Surface:**

For assessing the influence of the forward and lateral overlap ratio on processing and generating point clouds of UAV imagery over a featureless surface, three different levels of overlap ratios (60%, 70%, and 80%) flights are processed by the two IG and DG techniques at the same altitude 160 m AGL. The scheme of flights is shown in figure 11. The flight plan consisted of strips working east-west, and the flight planning parameters of the three different overlap ratios are shown in table 6.

**TABLE 5**  
**THE MATCHING PARAMETERS OF THE FOUR DIFFERENT ALTITUDE AGL OF DG PROCESS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flight height (m)</th>
<th>140 m</th>
<th>160 m</th>
<th>180 m</th>
<th>200 m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total points</td>
<td>220122</td>
<td>111314</td>
<td>97453</td>
<td>78623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct matching points</td>
<td>194629</td>
<td>96776</td>
<td>84519</td>
<td>61325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Correct matching points</td>
<td>88.42%</td>
<td>86.94%</td>
<td>86.73%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrong matching points</td>
<td>25493</td>
<td>14538</td>
<td>12934</td>
<td>17298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Wrong matching points</td>
<td>11.58%</td>
<td>13.06%</td>
<td>13.27%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average tie point multiplicity</td>
<td>6.385</td>
<td>3.297</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matching time</td>
<td>9 hours and 11 minutes</td>
<td>7 hours and 36 minutes</td>
<td>6 hours and 32 minutes</td>
<td>5 hours and 55 minutes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 6**  
**THE FLIGHT PLANNING PARAMETERS OF THE FOUR DIFFERENT OVERLAP RATIOS.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overlap ratio %</th>
<th>No. of flight lines</th>
<th>No. of photos per line</th>
<th>No. of total photos</th>
<th>Flight time (minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1290</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Study the effect of overlap ratio on IG processing of featureless UAV images:

The three different overlap ratio flights have been processed by IG using five GCPs and the remaining eight ground points used as ICPs. Figure 5 shows the locations of the GCPs and ICPs. The spatial accuracy assessment is determined by calculating the RMSE of the eight ICPs for easting, northing, and elevation, and the results are shown in table 7 and figure 12.

**TABLE 7**  
**THE RMSE OF THE THREE DIFFERENT OVERLAP RATIO OF IG PROCESS.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forward and side overlap</th>
<th>Flight height (m)</th>
<th>GSD (cm/pix)</th>
<th>Easting RMSE (m)</th>
<th>Northing RMSE (m)</th>
<th>Elevation RMSE (m)</th>
<th>Total RMSE (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>0.638</td>
<td>0.685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As shown in table 7 and figure 12, the highest overlap ratio recorded the highest spatial accuracy. Decreasing the overlap ratio leads to a decrease in the spatial accuracy of the generated point clouds. 60% overlap recorded 0.685 m spatial accuracy. 70% overlap gave 0.124 m spatial accuracy. The spatial accuracy of 0.049 m was at 80% overlap.

Besides the spatial accuracy, the matching parameters for the different overlap ratio flights are calculated by the IG process. Table 8 shows the matching parameters for the three missions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Both forward and side overlap</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>70%</th>
<th>80%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total points</td>
<td>57312</td>
<td>78140</td>
<td>141767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct matching points</td>
<td>29413</td>
<td>42516</td>
<td>87896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Correct matching points</td>
<td>51.32%</td>
<td>54.41%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrong matching points</td>
<td>27899</td>
<td>35624</td>
<td>53871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Wrong matching points</td>
<td>48.68%</td>
<td>45.59%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average tie point multiplicity</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>3.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matching time</td>
<td>12 hours and 43 minutes</td>
<td>18 hours and 28 minutes</td>
<td>1 day and 14 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From table 8, one can find that 80% overlap recorded the best matching parameters except matching time. The highest spare point was 141,767 points at 80% overlap with the highest correct matching points 87,896 points which are reduced to 57312 spare points with 29413 correct matching points at 60% as the lowest density. Average tie point multiplicity reduced from 3.079 at 80% overlap as the highest value to 2.03 at 60% as the lowest value. And the matching time was reduced from 1 day and 14 hours at 80% overlap to 2 hours and 43 minutes at 60% overlap.

2. The effect of overlap ratio on DG processing of UAV images over the featureless surface:

For assessing the effect of overlap ratios on the DG process and the spatial accuracy of photogrammetric point clouds, the three different overlap ratios flights (60%, 70%, and 80%) were processed using the known linear EO parameters determined by RTK-GNSS. The eight ICPs were used for assessing the geometric accuracy of the generated point cloud. The RMSE of the eight ICPs were calculated for easting, northing, elevation, and total, shown in table 9 and figure 13.

Table 9 and figure 13 show that 80% overlap gave the highest accuracy for the easting, northing, and elevation. From 80% overlap, the mission gave a spatial accuracy of 0.039 m. From 70% overlap, flight gave a geometric accuracy of 0.099 m. The geometric accuracy was 0.435 m with 60% overlap. Reduction overlaps to 70% might be given a suitable spatial accuracy under 0.1 m. Reduction the overlap under 70% gave an inappropriate geometric accuracy in topographic applications. The correlation between the overlap ratio and the matching parameters: the spare point density, correct & wrong matching points, average tie point multiplicity, and matching time was calculated and shown in table 10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Both forward and side overlap</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>70%</th>
<th>80%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total points</td>
<td>46752</td>
<td>69015</td>
<td>111314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct matching points</td>
<td>35877</td>
<td>56220</td>
<td>96776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Correct matching points</td>
<td>76.74%</td>
<td>81.46%</td>
<td>86.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrong matching points</td>
<td>10875</td>
<td>12795</td>
<td>14538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Wrong matching points</td>
<td>23.26%</td>
<td>18.54%</td>
<td>13.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average tie point multiplicity</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>3.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matching time</td>
<td>2 hours and 42 minutes</td>
<td>3 hours and 53 minutes</td>
<td>7 hours and 36 minutes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From table 10, the 80% overlap gave the highest spare point density, highest correct matching points, highest average tie point multiplicity, high matching time, and lowest wrong matching points. At the overlap of 80%, the largest images number (1290) at a ground sampling distance (resolution) of 3.9 cm/pixel were acquired. The generated point cloud with approximately 111314 3D points was extracted following the DG method.

Generally, the increased image overlap ratio leads to an increase in photogrammetric point clouds' geometric accuracy and matching parameters. The favorable results are obtained for overlap ratios at least 70 % or above in the DG process.

IV. CONCLUSION

This article presented a practical study to use UAV images over featureless surface for topographic mapping. The paper investigates the influence of different flight heights and levels of overlap ratio on the geometric accuracy of the generated topographic mapping products. The results show that the different UAV altitudes 140, 160, 180, and 200 m AGL gave geometric accuracy 0.043, 0.049, 0.052, and 0.057 m for IG process and 0.036, 0.039, 0.048, and 0.053 m for DG process, respectively. The higher ratio of overlap and low flight height recorded the highest spare point clouds, correct matching points, average tie point multiplicity, matching time, and lowest wrong matching point for matching parameters.

Generally, low flight height (140 m) gave high precision with 0.036 m RMSE and the highest reconstruction. The altitude increment might reduce flight time, processing time, and cost while keeping the adequate geometric accuracy. The increasing of image overlap ratio from 60 % to 80 % leads to an increase in photogrammetric point clouds' geometric accuracy from 0.685 m to 0.049 m for IG process. The favorable results are obtained for the four different altitudes and overlap ratios at least 80 % or above.
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تأثير ارتفاع الطيران والتفاعل لصور الطائرات بدون طيار فوق الأسطح المستوية وإنشاء صيغ تنبؤ بالدقة الهندسية لها.

Arabic Abstract:
أدي تطور نظام الطيران بدون طيار (UAS) وخوارزميات الرؤية الحاسوبية (CV) إلى التوسع في تقنية التصوير الجوي للحصول على بدائل عالية الدقة ومنخفضة التكلفة للرسم الجيولوجي. تستخدم خوارزمية (SFM) لتوليد سحب نقاط ثلاثية الأبعاد ونماذج ثلاثية الأبعاد من الصور الجوية المتداخلة. تعد الأسطح المستوية الخالية من المعالم واحدة من أكبر التحديات التي تعقد الاستخراج الآلي وربط النقاط معا في الصور الجوية. قمت بدراسة هذا الورقة البحثية تأثير ارتفاع الطيران ودرجة التداخل بين الصور على الدقة الهندسية لنقاط السحب ثلاثية الأبعاد والنتائج المترتبة من صور الطائرات بدون طيار (UAV) في منطقة رملية مملوءة بواكبا (140 م، 160 م، 180 م، و200 م) تتغطي بالدقة المكانية (3.41، 3.9، 4.39، 4.68 سم / بيكسل) على التوالي وثلاثة مستويات تداخل مختلفة (60٪، 70٪، 80٪) باستخدام صور جوية تم التقاطها بواسطة طائرة بدون طيار (UX5UAV) فوق منطقة في مدينة الجهراء، الكويت. أظهرت النتائج أن زيادة الارتفاع قد تقلل من زمن الرحلة ووقت المعالجة والتكلفة مع الحفاظ على الدقة الهندسية المقبولة وال المناسبة. بشكل عام، يتم الحصول على نتائج مقبولة للارتفاعات الأربعة المختلفة ودرجات التداخل بنسبة 80٪ على الأقل.